

Classical Friedrichs Operators in 1-D Scalar Case

Marko Erceg, Sandeep Kumar Soni

Introduction

The concept of [positive symmetric systems](#) was introduced by Friedrichs, which are today customarily referred to as the [Friedrichs systems](#). More precisely, for $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and bounded with Lipschitz boundary, $\mathbf{A}_k \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; M_r(\mathbb{C}))$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, and $\mathbf{B} \in L^\infty(\Omega; M_r(\mathbb{C}))$ satisfying (a.e. on Ω):

$$\mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{A}_k^*; \quad (\text{F1})$$

$$\exists \mu_0 > 0 \quad \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^* + \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k \mathbf{A}_k \geq \mu_0 \mathbf{I}. \quad (\text{F2})$$

Define $\mathcal{L}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}} : L^2(\Omega)^r \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^r$ by

$$\mathcal{L}u := \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k (\mathbf{A}_k u) + \mathbf{B}u,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}u := - \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k (\mathbf{A}_k u) + \left(\mathbf{B}^* + \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k \mathbf{A}_k \right) u,$$

is called [Classical Friedrichs System](#).

Aim: to impose boundary conditions such that for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)^r$ we have a unique solution of $\mathcal{L}u = f$.

Gain: many important (semi)linear equations of mathematical physics can be written in the form of classical Friedrichs operators.

Classical theory in short: Unified treatment of linear hyperbolic systems like Maxwell's, Dirac's, or higher order equations (e.g. the wave equation).

– treating the equations of mixed type, such as the Tricomi equation:

$$y \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0;$$

– unified treatment of equations and systems of different type;

– **more recently: better numerical properties.**

Shortcomings:

– no satisfactory well-posedness result,

– no intrinsic (unique) way to pose boundary conditions.

→ development of the abstract theory

$(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ complex Hilbert space ($\mathcal{H}' \equiv \mathcal{H}$), $\| \cdot \| := \sqrt{\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle}$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ dense subspace. Let $T, \tilde{T} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. The pair (T, \tilde{T}) is called a [joint pair of abstract Friedrichs operators](#) if the following holds:

$$(\forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \langle T\varphi | \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi | \tilde{T}\psi \rangle; \quad (\text{T1})$$

$$(\exists c > 0)(\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \| (T + \tilde{T})\varphi \| \leq c \|\varphi\|; \quad (\text{T2})$$

$$(\exists \mu_0 > 0)(\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \langle (T + \tilde{T})\varphi | \varphi \rangle \geq \mu_0 \|\varphi\|^2. \quad (\text{T3})$$

Note: Classical is abstract.

Characterisation of joint pair of abstract Friedrichs operators

Lemma

$$(T1) - (T3) \iff \begin{cases} T \subseteq \tilde{T}^* \quad \& \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq T^*; \\ T + \tilde{T} \text{ bounded self-adjoint in } \mathcal{H} \\ \text{with strictly positive bottom;} \\ \text{dom } \tilde{T} = \text{dom } T \quad \& \quad \text{dom } T^* = \text{dom } \tilde{T}^*. \end{cases}$$

By (T1), T and \tilde{T} are closable. By (T2), $T + \tilde{T}$ is a bounded operator, so the graph norms $\| \cdot \|_T$ and $\| \cdot \|_{\tilde{T}}$ are equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } \tilde{T} &= \text{dom } T =: \mathcal{W}_0, \\ \text{dom } T^* &= \text{dom } \tilde{T}^* =: \mathcal{W}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{1})$$

and $(\overline{T + \tilde{T}})|_{\mathcal{W}} = \tilde{T}^* + T^*$. So, (\tilde{T}, T) is also a pair of abstract Friedrichs operators.

Notation :

$$T_0 := \tilde{T}, \quad \tilde{T}_0 := T, \quad T_1 := \tilde{T}^*, \quad \tilde{T}_1 := T^*.$$

Therefore, we have

$$T_0 \subseteq T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T}_0 \subseteq \tilde{T}_1. \quad (\text{2})$$

$(\mathcal{W}, \| \cdot \|_T)$ is the *graph space*. \mathcal{W}_0 is a closed subspace of the graph space \mathcal{W} .

For, $\mathcal{D} = C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega)$ and a certain choice of operators it could be that \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}_0 are Sobolev spaces $H^1(\Omega)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$, respectively.

Boundary map (form) : $D : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$,

$$\langle u | v \rangle := \mathcal{W}' \langle Du, v \rangle_{\mathcal{W}} = \langle T_1 u | v \rangle - \langle u | \tilde{T}_1 v \rangle.$$

Let a pair of operators (T, \tilde{T}) on \mathcal{H} satisfies (T1)–(T2). Then D is continuous and satisfies

$$\text{i) } (\forall u, v \in \mathcal{W}) \quad (\langle u | v \rangle = \overline{\langle v | u \rangle}),$$

$$\text{ii) } \ker D = \mathcal{W}_0.$$

Remark: $(\mathcal{W}, [\cdot | \cdot])$ is [indefinite inner product space](#).

Well-posedness Result

For $\mathcal{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ we introduce two conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{V1}) \quad & (\forall u \in \mathcal{V}) \quad [u | u] \geq 0 \\ & (\forall v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}) \quad [v | v] \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{V2}) \quad \mathcal{V}^{[\perp]} = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{[\perp]} = \mathcal{V}$$

Theorem[Ern, Guermond, Caplain, 2007]

(T1)–(T3) + (V1)–(V2) $\implies T_1|_{\mathcal{V}}, \tilde{T}_1|_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$ bijective realisations .

Existence, Multiplicity and Classification

We seek for bijective closed operators $S \equiv \tilde{T}^*|_{\mathcal{V}}$ such that

$$\tilde{T} \subseteq S \subseteq \tilde{T}^*,$$

and thus also S^* is bijective and $\tilde{T} \subseteq S^* \subseteq T^*$. We call (S, S^*) an [adjoint pair of bijective realisations relative to \$\(T, \tilde{T}\)\$](#) .

Theorem[Antonić, Erceg, Michelangeli, 2017]

Let (T, \tilde{T}) satisfies (T1)–(T3).

(i) **Existence:** There **exists** an adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) .

(ii) **Multiplicity:**

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \tilde{T}^* \neq \{0\} \quad \& \quad \ker T^* \neq \{0\} & \implies \text{uncountably many adjoint pairs of} \\ & \text{bijective realisations with signed} \\ & \text{boundary map} \\ \ker \tilde{T}^* = \{0\} \quad \& \quad \ker T^* = \{0\} & \implies \text{only one adjoint pair of bijective} \\ & \text{realisations with signed boundary map} \end{aligned}$$

Classification: For (T, \tilde{T}) satisfying (T1)–(T3) we have

$$\tilde{T} \subseteq \tilde{T}^* \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq T^*,$$

while by the previous theorem there exists closed T_\mp such that

$$\bullet \tilde{T} \subseteq T_\mp \subseteq \tilde{T}^* \quad (\iff \tilde{T} \subseteq T_\mp^* \subseteq T^*),$$

$$\bullet T_\mp : \text{dom } T_\mp \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ bijection,}$$

$$\bullet (T_\mp)^{-1} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{dom } T_\mp \text{ bounded.}$$

Thus, we can apply Grubb's [universal classification](#) theory (classification of dual (adjoint) pairs).

Result: complete classification of all adjoint pairs of bijective realisations with signed boundary map.

To do: apply this result to general classical Friedrichs operators from the beginning.

Decomposition of the graph space

Theorem[Erceg, Soni, 2022]

(T_0, \tilde{T}_0) is a joint pair of closed abstract Friedrichs operators then

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_0 \dot{+} \ker T_1 \dot{+} \ker \tilde{T}_1.$$

Corollary: $(T_1|_{\mathcal{W}_0 \dot{+} \ker \tilde{T}_1}, \tilde{T}_1|_{\mathcal{W}_0 \dot{+} \ker T_1})$ is a pair of mutually adjoint pair of bijective realisations relative to (T, \tilde{T}) .

A sketch for the proof of the theorem is:

$$\bullet \mathcal{W}_0 \dot{+} \ker T_1 \dot{+} \ker \tilde{T}_1 \text{ is direct and closed in } \mathcal{W}.$$

$$\bullet \text{For any bijective realisation } T_\mp,$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_0 \dot{+} T_\mp^{-1}(\ker \tilde{T}_1) \dot{+} \ker T_1 = \mathcal{W}_0 \dot{+} (T_\mp^*)^{-1}(\ker T_1) \dot{+} \ker \tilde{T}_1.$$

$$\bullet \mathcal{W} = \left(\mathcal{W}_0 \dot{+} \ker T_1 \dot{+} \ker \tilde{T}_1 \right)^{[\perp][\perp]}.$$

Using the above theorem we now find all [admissible boundary conditions](#) for 1-d scalar case with variable coefficients.

One-dimensional ($d = 1$) Scalar ($r = 1$) Case

$\Omega = (a, b)$, $a < b$, $\mathcal{D} = C_c^\infty(a, b)$ and $\mathcal{H} = L^2(a, b)$. $T, \tilde{T} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$:

$$T\varphi := (\alpha\varphi)' + \beta\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T}\varphi := -(\alpha\varphi)' + (\bar{\beta} + \alpha')\varphi.$$

Here $\alpha \in W^{1,\infty}((a, b); \mathbb{R})$, $\beta \in L^\infty((a, b); \mathbb{C})$ and for some $\mu_0 > 0$, $2\Re\beta + \alpha' \geq 2\mu_0 > 0$.

The graph space :

$$\mathcal{W} = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : (\alpha u)' \in \mathcal{H}\}, \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}} := \|u\| + \|(\alpha u)'\|.$$

Equivalently ,

$$u \in \mathcal{W} \iff \alpha u \in H^1(a, b).$$

So, by Sobolev embedding $\alpha u \in C(a, b)$. Implies the evaluation $(\alpha u)(x)$ is well defined. However, u is not necessarily continuous so $\alpha(x)u(x)$ is not meaningful.

Lemma Let $I := [a, b] \setminus \alpha^{-1}(\{0\})$. Then $\mathcal{W} \subseteq H_{\text{loc}}^1(I)$, i.e. for any $u \in \mathcal{W}$ and $[c, d] \subseteq I$, $c < d$, we have $u|_{[c, d]} \in H^1(c, d)$.

The boundary operator can be written explicitly as

$$\mathcal{W}' \langle Du, v \rangle_{\mathcal{W}} = (\alpha u \bar{v})(b) - (\alpha u \bar{v})(a), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{W},$$

where we define

$$(\alpha u \bar{v})(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & , \alpha(x) = 0 \\ \alpha(x)u(x)\overline{v(x)} & , \alpha(x) \neq 0 \end{cases}, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

The domain of the closures T_0 and \tilde{T}_0 satisfies $\mathcal{W}_0 = \text{cl}_{\mathcal{W}} C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, is characterised as

Lemma

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{W} : (\alpha u)(a) = (\alpha u)(b) = 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma The codimension of the quotient space $\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}_0$ is

$$= \begin{cases} 2, & \alpha(a)\alpha(b) \neq 0, \\ 1, & (\alpha(a) = 0 \wedge \alpha(b) \neq 0) \vee (\alpha(a) \neq 0 \wedge \alpha(b) = 0) \\ 0, & \alpha(a) = \alpha(b) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the decomposition we have

$$\dim(\ker T_1) + \dim(\ker \tilde{T}_1) = \dim \mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}_0.$$

Thus, when $\alpha(a)\alpha(b) = 0$ there is only one bijective realisation of T_0 . In case $\alpha(a)\alpha(b) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many bijective realisations if and only if $\dim(\ker T_1) = \dim(\ker \tilde{T}_1)$.

The only interesting case is, when $\alpha(a) > 0$, $\alpha(b) > 0$. In this case we have,

$u \in \mathcal{W}$ belongs to $\text{dom } T_{c,d}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} [1] \quad & \left(\frac{\alpha(b)\overline{\varphi(b)}}{\|\varphi\|^2} - \frac{(c+id)}{\varphi(b) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(a)}{\alpha(b)}}\varphi(a)} \right) u(b) \\ & = \left(\frac{\alpha(a)\overline{\varphi(a)}}{\|\varphi\|^2} - \frac{(c+id)\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(a)}{\alpha(b)}}}{\varphi(b) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(a)}{\alpha(b)}}\varphi(a)} \right) u(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $u \in \mathcal{W}$ is in $\text{dom } T_{c,d}^*$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} [2] \quad & \left(\alpha(b)\overline{\varphi(b)} - \frac{\|\varphi\|^2(c-id)}{\varphi(b) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(a)}{\alpha(b)}}\varphi(a)} \right) u(b) \\ & = \left(\alpha(a)\overline{\varphi(a)} - \frac{\|\varphi\|^2(c-id)\sqrt{\frac{\alpha(a)}{\alpha(b)}}}{\varphi(b) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(a)}{\alpha(b)}}\varphi(a)} \right) u(a). \end{aligned}$$

So, the set of all pairs of mutually adjoint bijective realisations relative to (T, \tilde{T}) is given by

$$[3] \quad \left\{ (T_{c,d}, T_{c,d}^*) : c, d \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \right\} \cup \left\{ (T_\mp, T_\mp^*) \right\}.$$

Summary :

α at end-points	No. of bij. realis.	$(\mathcal{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$
$\alpha(a)\alpha(b) \leq 0$	1	$\alpha(a) \geq 0 \wedge \alpha(b) \leq 0$ ($\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}$) $\alpha(a) \leq 0 \wedge \alpha(b) \geq 0$ ($\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}_0$)
$\alpha(a)\alpha(b) > 0$	∞	[3] (see [1] and [2])

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by [Croatian Science Foundation](#) under the project IP-2018-01-2449 MITPDE.

References

- [1] K. O. Friedrichs: *Symmetric positive linear differential equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **11** (1958) 333–418.
- [2] A. Ern, J.-L. Guermond, G. Caplain: *An intrinsic criterion for the bijectivity of Hilbert operators related to Friedrichs' systems*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **32** (2007) 317–341.
- [3] G. Grubb: *A characterization of the non-local boundary value problems associated with an elliptic operator*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22** (1968) 425–513.
- [4] N. AntoniĆ, K. Burazin: *Intrinsic boundary conditions for Friedrichs systems*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **35** (2010) 1690–1715.
- [5] N. AntoniĆ, M.E., A. Michelangeli: *Friedrichs systems in a Hilbert space framework: solvability and multiplicity*, J. Differential Equations **263** (2017) 8264–8294.
- [6] M. Erceg, S. K. Soni: *Classification of classical Friedrichs differential operators: One-dimensional scalar case*, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, 2022, 21 (10) : 3499–3527. doi: 10.3934/cpaa.2022112