

Friedrichs operators as dual pairs

Marko Erceg

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb

AGF:HAMSaPDE

Novi Sad, 26th October 2017

Joint work with N. Antonić and A. Michelangeli



1 Abstract Friedrichs operators

- Definition
- Classical Friedrichs operators
- Well-posedness

2 Hilbert space framework

- Equivalent definition
- Bijective realisations with signed boundary map
- Solvability, infinity and classification

$(L, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ complex Hilbert space ($L' \equiv L$), $\|\cdot\| := \sqrt{\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle}$
 $\mathcal{D} \subseteq L$ dense subspace

Definition

Let $T, \tilde{T} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow L$. The pair (T, \tilde{T}) is called a **joint pair of abstract Friedrichs operators** if the following holds:

$$(T1) \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \langle T\phi | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | \tilde{T}\psi \rangle;$$

$$(T2) \quad (\exists c > 0)(\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \|(T + \tilde{T})\phi\| \leq c\|\phi\|;$$

$$(T3) \quad (\exists \mu_0 > 0)(\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \langle (T + \tilde{T})\phi | \phi \rangle \geq \mu_0\|\phi\|^2.$$



A. Ern, J.-L. Guermond, G. Caplain: *An intrinsic criterion for the bijectivity of Hilbert operators related to Friedrichs' systems*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **32** (2007) 317–341.

Example 1 (Classical Friedrichs operators)

Assumptions:

$d, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and bounded with Lipschitz boundary;

$\mathbf{A}_k \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)^{r \times r}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, and $\mathbf{C} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{r \times r}$ satisfying (a.e. on Ω):

$$(F1) \quad \mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{A}_k^* ;$$

$$(F2) \quad (\exists \mu_0 > 0) \quad \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{C}^* + \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k \mathbf{A}_k \geq \mu_0 \mathbf{I} .$$

$$L := L^2(\Omega)^r, \quad \mathcal{D} := C_c^\infty(\Omega)^r ;$$

Define $T, \tilde{T} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow L$ by

$$Tu := \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k (\mathbf{A}_k u) + \mathbf{C}u, \quad \tilde{T}u := - \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k (\mathbf{A}_k u) + \left(\mathbf{C}^* + \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k \mathbf{A}_k \right) u$$

(T, \tilde{T}) is a joint pair of abstract Friedrichs operators.

To **solve** $Tu = f$ for $f \in L$.



K. O. Friedrichs: *Symmetric positive linear differential equations*, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **11** (1958) 333–418.

Unified treatment of linear hyperbolic systems like Maxwell's, Dirac's, or higher order equations (e.g. the wave equation).

- Contributions: C. Morawetz, P. Lax, L. Sarason, R. S. Phillips, J. Rauch, ...
- treating the equations of mixed type, such as the Tricomi equation:

$$y \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0;$$

- unified treatment of equations and systems of different type;
- **more recently: better numerical properties.**



K. O. Friedrichs: *Symmetric positive linear differential equations*, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **11** (1958) 333–418.

Unified treatment of linear hyperbolic systems like Maxwell's, Dirac's, or higher order equations (e.g. the wave equation).

- Contributions: C. Morawetz, P. Lax, L. Sarason, R. S. Phillips, J. Rauch, ...
- treating the equations of mixed type, such as the Tricomi equation:

$$y \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0;$$

- unified treatment of equations and systems of different type;
- **more recently: better numerical properties.**

Shortcomings:

- no satisfactory well-posedness result,
- no intrinsic (unique) way to pose boundary conditions.



A. Ern, J.-L. Guermond, G. Caplain: *An intrinsic criterion for the bijectivity of Hilbert operators related to Friedrichs' systems*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **32** (2007) 317–341.



N. Ananić, K. Burazin: *Intrinsic boundary conditions for Friedrichs systems*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **35** (2010) 1690–1715.

Definition

Let $T, \tilde{T} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow L$. The pair (T, \tilde{T}) is called a **joint pair of abstract Friedrichs operators** if the following holds:

$$(T1) \quad (\forall \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \langle T\phi \mid \psi \rangle = \langle \phi \mid \tilde{T}\psi \rangle;$$

$$(T2) \quad (\exists c > 0)(\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \|(T + \tilde{T})\phi\| \leq c\|\phi\|;$$

$$(T3) \quad (\exists \mu_0 > 0)(\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}) \quad \langle (T + \tilde{T})\phi \mid \phi \rangle \geq \mu_0\|\phi\|^2.$$

Goal: To find $V \supseteq \mathcal{D}$ ($\tilde{V} \supseteq \mathcal{D}$) such that T (\tilde{T}) extended to V (\tilde{V}) is a linear bijection.

Goal: To find $V \supseteq \mathcal{D}$ ($\tilde{V} \supseteq \mathcal{D}$) such that T (\tilde{T}) extended to V (\tilde{V}) is a linear bijection.

Goal: To find $V \supseteq \mathcal{D}$ ($\tilde{V} \supseteq \mathcal{D}$) such that T (\tilde{T}) extended to V (\tilde{V}) is a linear bijection.

It is more convenient to first extend T and \tilde{T} and then seek for suitable restrictions. In [Ern et al., 2007] a construction of (T_1, \tilde{T}_1) such that

$$T \subseteq T_1, \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq \tilde{T}_1, \quad \text{dom } T_1 = \text{dom } \tilde{T}_1 =: W,$$

and $(W, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1})$ is a Hilbert space ($\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1} := \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle + \langle T_1 \cdot | T_1 \cdot \rangle$).

New goal: To find $V, \tilde{V} \subseteq W$ such that $W_0 \subseteq V, \tilde{V}$ and restrictions $T_1|_V : V \rightarrow L$, $\tilde{T}_1|_{\tilde{V}} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow L$ are bijections (here $W_0 := \overline{(\mathcal{D}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1})}$).

Goal: To find $V \supseteq \mathcal{D}$ ($\tilde{V} \supseteq \mathcal{D}$) such that T (\tilde{T}) extended to V (\tilde{V}) is a linear bijection.

It is more convenient to first extend T and \tilde{T} and then seek for suitable restrictions. In [Ern et al., 2007] a construction of (T_1, \tilde{T}_1) such that

$$T \subseteq T_1, \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq \tilde{T}_1, \quad \text{dom } T_1 = \text{dom } \tilde{T}_1 =: W,$$

and $(W, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1})$ is a Hilbert space ($\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1} := \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle + \langle T_1 \cdot | T_1 \cdot \rangle$).

New goal: To find $V, \tilde{V} \subseteq W$ such that $W_0 \subseteq V, \tilde{V}$ and restrictions $T_1|_V : V \rightarrow L$, $\tilde{T}_1|_{\tilde{V}} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow L$ are bijections (here $W_0 := \overline{(\mathcal{D}, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1})}$).

Questions:

- 1) **Sufficient** conditions on V
- 2) **Existence** of such V
- 3) **Infinity** of such V
- 4) **Classification** of such V

Boundary operator: $D : (W, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1}) \rightarrow (W, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1})'$,

$${}_W \langle Du, v \rangle_W := \langle T_1 u | v \rangle - \langle u | \tilde{T}_1 v \rangle, \quad u, v \in W.$$

Properties: $\ker D = W_0$ and D symmetric, i.e.

$$(\forall u, v \in W) \quad {}_W \langle Du, v \rangle_W = {}_W \langle Dv, u \rangle_W.$$

Boundary operator: $D : (W, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1}) \rightarrow (W, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{T_1})'$,

$${}_{W'}\langle Du, v \rangle_W := \langle T_1 u | v \rangle - \langle u | \tilde{T}_1 v \rangle, \quad u, v \in W.$$

Properties: $\ker D = W_0$ and D symmetric, i.e.

$$(\forall u, v \in W) \quad {}_{W'}\langle Du, v \rangle_W = {}_{W'}\langle Dv, u \rangle_W.$$

$$(V1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\forall u \in V) \quad & {}_{W'}\langle Du, u \rangle_W \geq 0 \\ (\forall v \in \tilde{V}) \quad & {}_{W'}\langle Dv, v \rangle_W \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(V2) \quad V = D(\tilde{V})^0, \quad \tilde{V} = D(V)^0.$$

Theorem (Ern, Guermond, Caplain, 2007)

Let (T, \tilde{T}) be a joint pair of Friedrichs systems and let (V, \tilde{V}) satisfies (V1)–(V2). Then $T_1|_V : V \rightarrow L$ and $\tilde{T}_1|_{\tilde{V}} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow L$ are closed bijective realisations of T and \tilde{T} , respectively.

Example 2 (Scalar elliptic PDE)

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ given.

$$-\Delta u + \mu u = f$$

Example 2 (Scalar elliptic PDE)

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ given.

$$-\Delta u + \mu u = f \iff -\operatorname{div} \nabla u + \mu u = f$$

Example 2 (Scalar elliptic PDE)

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ given.

$$-\Delta u + \mu u = f \iff -\operatorname{div} \nabla u + \mu u = f \iff \begin{cases} \nabla u + \mathbf{p} = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{p} + \mu u = f \end{cases}$$

Example 2 (Scalar elliptic PDE)

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ given.

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \mu u = f &\iff -\operatorname{div} \nabla u + \mu u = f \iff \begin{cases} \nabla u + \mathbf{p} = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{p} + \mu u = f \end{cases} \\ &\iff T\mathbf{v} := \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k (\mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{v} := [\mathbf{p} \ u]^\top$, $\mathbf{g} := [0 \ f]^\top$, $(\mathbf{A}_k)_{ij} := \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,d+1} + \delta_{i,d+1} \delta_{j,k}$, $\mathbf{C} := \operatorname{diag}\{1, \dots, 1, \mu\}$.
Assumptions (F1) and (F2) are satisfied.

Example 2 (Scalar elliptic PDE)

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ given.

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \mu u = f &\iff -\operatorname{div} \nabla u + \mu u = f \iff \begin{cases} \nabla u + \mathbf{p} = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{p} + \mu u = f \end{cases} \\ &\iff T\mathbf{v} := \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k (\mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{v} := [\mathbf{p} \ u]^\top$, $\mathbf{g} := [0 \ f]^\top$, $(\mathbf{A}_k)_{ij} := \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,d+1} + \delta_{i,d+1} \delta_{j,k}$, $\mathbf{C} := \operatorname{diag}\{1, \dots, 1, \mu\}$.
Assumptions (F1) and (F2) are satisfied.

$$L = L^2(\Omega)^{d+1}, \quad W = L^2_{\operatorname{div}}(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$$

- $V = L^2_{\operatorname{div}}(\Omega) \times H^1_0(\Omega) \dots$ Dirichlet boundary condition ($u = 0$ on Γ)
- $V = L^2_{\operatorname{div},0}(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \dots$ Neumann boundary condition ($\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = \nabla u \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0$ on Γ)

Theorem (Ern, Guermond, Caplain, 2007)

Let (T, \tilde{T}) be a joint pair of Friedrichs systems and let (V, \tilde{V}) satisfies (V1)–(V2). Then $T_1|_V : V \rightarrow L$ and $\tilde{T}_1|_{\tilde{V}} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow L$ are closed bijective realisations of T and \tilde{T} , respectively.

Can we say something more about extensions T_1 , \tilde{T}_1 , and (V1)–(V2) conditions?

Theorem (Ern, Guermond, Caplain, 2007)

Let (T, \tilde{T}) be a joint pair of Friedrichs systems and let (V, \tilde{V}) satisfies (V1)–(V2). Then $T_1|_V : V \rightarrow L$ and $\tilde{T}_1|_{\tilde{V}} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow L$ are closed bijective realisations of T and \tilde{T} , respectively.

Can we say something more about extensions T_1 , \tilde{T}_1 , and (V1)–(V2) conditions?

Theorem

Let $T, \tilde{T} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow L$. The pair (T, \tilde{T}) is a joint pair of abstract Friedrichs operators iff

- (i) $T \subseteq \tilde{T}^*$ and $\tilde{T} \subseteq T^*$;
- (ii) $\overline{T + \tilde{T}}$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator in L with strictly positive bottom;
- (iii) $\text{dom } \overline{T} = \text{dom } \overline{\tilde{T}} = W_0$ and $\text{dom } T^* = \text{dom } \tilde{T}^* = W$.

Theorem

- (i) $\text{dom } \overline{T} = \text{dom } \overline{\tilde{T}} = W_0$ and $\text{dom } T^* = \text{dom } \tilde{T}^* = W$;
- (ii) $T_1 = \tilde{T}^*$ and $\tilde{T}_1 = T^*$.

As

$$T \subseteq \tilde{T}^* = T_1 \quad \& \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq T^* = \tilde{T}_1,$$

(T, \tilde{T}) form a **dual pair** (adjoint pair, adjoint couple).

As

$$T \subseteq \tilde{T}^* = T_1 \quad \& \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq T^* = \tilde{T}_1,$$

(T, \tilde{T}) form a **dual pair** (adjoint pair, adjoint couple).

For $\text{dom } \bar{T} = W_0 \subseteq V, \tilde{V} \subseteq W = \text{dom } T^*$

$$T \subseteq \tilde{T}^*|_V \subseteq \tilde{T}^* \quad \& \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq T^*|_{\tilde{V}} \subseteq T^* .$$

As

$$T \subseteq \tilde{T}^* = T_1 \quad \& \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq T^* = \tilde{T}_1,$$

(T, \tilde{T}) form a **dual pair** (adjoint pair, adjoint couple).

For $\text{dom } \bar{T} = W_0 \subseteq V, \tilde{V} \subseteq W = \text{dom } T^*$

$$T \subseteq \tilde{T}^*|_V \subseteq \tilde{T}^* \quad \& \quad \tilde{T} \subseteq T^*|_{\tilde{V}} \subseteq T^* .$$

Theorem

Let (T, \tilde{T}) be a pair of operators on the Hilbert space L satisfying conditions (T1)-(T2), and let (V, \tilde{V}) be a pair of subspaces of L . Then

$$\text{condition (V2)} \iff \begin{cases} W_0 \subseteq V \subseteq W, \quad W_0 \subseteq \tilde{V} \subseteq W \\ V \text{ and } \tilde{V} \text{ closed in } W \\ (\tilde{T}^*|_V)^* = T^*|_{\tilde{V}} \\ (T^*|_{\tilde{V}})^* = \tilde{T}^*|_V . \end{cases}$$

We are seeking for bijective closed operators $S \equiv \tilde{T}^*|_V$ such that

$$\bar{T} \subseteq S \subseteq \tilde{T}^*,$$

and thus also S^* is bijective and $\widetilde{T} \subseteq S^* \subseteq T^*$.

We are seeking for bijective closed operators $S \equiv \tilde{T}^*|_V$ such that

$$\bar{T} \subseteq S \subseteq \tilde{T}^*,$$

and thus also S^* is bijective and $\tilde{\bar{T}} \subseteq S^* \subseteq T^*$.

In the rest we work with closed T and \tilde{T} .

Definition

Let (T, \tilde{T}) be a joint pair of closed abstract Friedrichs operators on the Hilbert space L . For a closed $T \subseteq S \subseteq \tilde{T}^*$ such that $(\text{dom } S, \text{dom } S^*)$ satisfies (V1) we call (S, S^*) an **adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T})** .

Questions:

- 1) **Sufficient** conditions on V ✓

Questions:

- 1) **Sufficient** conditions on V ✓
- 2) **Existence** of $V \subseteq W$ such that $(\tilde{T}^*|_V, (\tilde{T}^*|_V)^*)$ is an adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T})
- 3) **Infinity** of such V
- 4) **Classification** of such V

Theorem (Antonić, E., Michelangeli, 2017)

Let (T, \tilde{T}) be a joint pair of closed abstract Friedrichs operators on the Hilbert space L .

- (i) There exists an adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) . Moreover, there is an adjoint pair (T_r, T_r^*) of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) such that

$$W_0 + \ker T^* \subseteq \operatorname{dom} T_r \quad \text{and} \quad W_0 + \ker \tilde{T}^* \subseteq \operatorname{dom} T_r^* .$$

- (ii) If both $\ker \tilde{T}^* \neq \{0\}$ and $\ker T^* \neq \{0\}$, then the pair (T, \tilde{T}) admits uncountably many adjoint pairs of bijective realisations with signed boundary map. On the other hand, if either $\ker \tilde{T}^* = \{0\}$ or $\ker T^* = \{0\}$, then there is exactly one adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) . Such a pair is precisely (\tilde{T}^*, \tilde{T}) when $\ker \tilde{T}^* = \{0\}$, and (T, T^*) when $\ker T^* = \{0\}$.

Theorem (Antonić, E., Michelangeli, 2017)

Let (T, \tilde{T}) be a joint pair of closed abstract Friedrichs operators on the Hilbert space L .

- (i) There exists an adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) . Moreover, there is an adjoint pair (T_r, T_r^*) of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) such that

$$W_0 + \ker T^* \subseteq \text{dom } T_r \quad \text{and} \quad W_0 + \ker \tilde{T}^* \subseteq \text{dom } T_r^* .$$

- (ii) If both $\ker \tilde{T}^* \neq \{0\}$ and $\ker T^* \neq \{0\}$, then the pair (T, \tilde{T}) admits uncountably many adjoint pairs of bijective realisations with signed boundary map. On the other hand, if either $\ker \tilde{T}^* = \{0\}$ or $\ker T^* = \{0\}$, then there is exactly one adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) . Such a pair is precisely (\tilde{T}^*, \tilde{T}) when $\ker \tilde{T}^* = \{0\}$, and (T, T^*) when $\ker T^* = \{0\}$.

The idea of the proof: $(\widehat{W}, [\cdot | \cdot])$ is a Kreĭn space, where $\widehat{W} := W/W_0$ and for $u, v \in W$

$$[u + W_0 | v + W_0] := {}_W \langle Du, v \rangle_W = \langle \tilde{T}^* u | v \rangle - \langle u | T^* v \rangle .$$

Theorem (Antonić, E., Michelangeli, 2017)

Let (T, \tilde{T}) be a joint pair of closed abstract Friedrichs operators on the Hilbert space L .

- (i) There exists an adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) . Moreover, there is an adjoint pair (T_r, T_r^*) of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) such that

$$W_0 + \ker T^* \subseteq \text{dom } T_r \quad \text{and} \quad W_0 + \ker \tilde{T}^* \subseteq \text{dom } T_r^* .$$

- (ii) If both $\ker \tilde{T}^* \neq \{0\}$ and $\ker T^* \neq \{0\}$, then the pair (T, \tilde{T}) admits uncountably many adjoint pairs of bijective realisations with signed boundary map. On the other hand, if either $\ker \tilde{T}^* = \{0\}$ or $\ker T^* = \{0\}$, then there is exactly one adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) . Such a pair is precisely (\tilde{T}^*, \tilde{T}) when $\ker \tilde{T}^* = \{0\}$, and (T, T^*) when $\ker T^* = \{0\}$.

The idea of the proof: $(\widehat{W}, [\cdot | \cdot])$ is a Kreĭn space, where $\widehat{W} := W/W_0$ and for $u, v \in W$

$$[u + W_0 | v + W_0] := {}_W \langle Du, v \rangle_W = \langle \tilde{T}^* u | v \rangle - \langle u | T^* v \rangle .$$

Hence, there exist $X_+, X_- \subseteq \widehat{W}$ such that $\widehat{W} = X_+[+]X_-$, and $(X_+, [\cdot | \cdot])$ and $(X_-, -[\cdot | \cdot])$ are Hilbert spaces.

Each choice of X_+, X_- determines V, \tilde{V} satisfying (V1)–(V2).

Questions:

- 1) **Sufficient** conditions on V ✓
- 2) **Existence** of $V \subseteq W$ such that $(\tilde{T}^*|_V, (\tilde{T}^*|_V)^*)$ is an adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (T, \tilde{T}) ✓
- 3) **Infinity** of such V ✓
- 4) **Classification** of such V

$$A_0 \subseteq (A'_0)^* =: A_1 \quad \text{and} \quad A'_0 \subseteq (A_0)^* =: A'_1$$

(A_r, A_r^*) are closed, satisfy $A_0 \subseteq A_r \subseteq A_1$, equivalently $A'_0 \subseteq A_r^* \subseteq A'_1$, and are invertible with everywhere defined bounded inverses A_r^{-1} and $(A_r^*)^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } A_1 &= \text{dom } A_r \dot{+} \ker A_1 & \text{and} & & \text{dom } A'_1 &= \text{dom } A_r^* \dot{+} \ker A'_1 \\ p_r &= A_r^{-1} A_1, & p_{r'} &= (A_r^*)^{-1} A'_1, \\ p_k &= \mathbb{1} - p_r, & p_{k'} &= \mathbb{1} - p_{r'}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (A, A^*) \\ A_0 \subseteq A \subseteq A_1 \\ A'_0 \subseteq A^* \subseteq A'_1 \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (B, B^*) \\ \mathcal{V} \subseteq \ker A_1 \text{ closed} \\ \mathcal{W} \subseteq \ker A'_1 \text{ closed} \\ B : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \text{ densely defined} \end{array} \right.$$

$$B \mapsto A_B : \quad \text{dom } A_B = \left\{ u \in \text{dom } A_1 : p_k u \in \text{dom } B, P_{\mathcal{W}}(A_1 u) = B(p_k u) \right\},$$

$$A \mapsto B_A : \quad \text{dom } B_A = p_k \text{dom } A, \quad \mathcal{V} = \overline{\text{dom } B_A}, \quad B_A(p_k u) = P_{\mathcal{W}}(A_1 u),$$

where $P_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the *orthogonal* projections from L onto \mathcal{W} .

Important: A is injective, resp. surjective, resp. bijective, if and only if so is B .

When A_B corresponds to B as above, then

$$\text{dom } A_B = \left\{ w_0 + (A_r)^{-1}(B\nu + \nu') + \nu \left| \begin{array}{l} w_0 \in \text{dom } A_0 \\ \nu \in \text{dom } B \\ \nu' \in \ker A'_1 \ominus \mathcal{W} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

$$A_B(w_0 + (A_r)^{-1}(B\nu + \nu') + \nu) = A_0 w_0 + B\nu + \nu'$$



G. Grubb: *A characterization of the non-local boundary value problems associated with an elliptic operator*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22** (1968) 425–513.

When A_B corresponds to B as above, then

$$\text{dom } A_B = \left\{ w_0 + (A_r)^{-1}(B\nu + \nu') + \nu \left| \begin{array}{l} w_0 \in \text{dom } A_0 \\ \nu \in \text{dom } B \\ \nu' \in \ker A'_1 \ominus \mathcal{W} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

$$A_B(w_0 + (A_r)^{-1}(B\nu + \nu') + \nu) = A_0 w_0 + B\nu + \nu'$$



G. Grubb: *A characterization of the non-local boundary value problems associated with an elliptic operator*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22** (1968) 425–513.

We shall apply this theory on a joint pair of closed abstract Friedrichs systems.

For simplicity here we use the notation of Grubb's universal classification.

(A_0, A'_0) a joint pair of closed abstract Friedrichs operators, $A_1 := (A'_0)^*$, $A'_1 := A_0^*$, and let (A_r, A_r^*) be an adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (A_0, A'_0) .

(A_B, A_B^*) a generic pair of closed extensions $A_0 \subseteq A_B \subseteq A_1$.

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} (\forall \nu \in \text{dom } B) \\ (\forall \nu' \in \ker A'_1 \ominus \mathcal{W}) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \langle \nu \mid A'_1 \nu \rangle - 2 \Re \langle p_{k'} \nu \mid B \nu \rangle \leq 0 \\ \langle p_{k'} \nu \mid \nu' \rangle = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} (\forall \mu' \in \text{dom } B^*) \\ (\forall \mu \in \ker A_1 \ominus \mathcal{V}) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \langle A_1 \mu' \mid \mu' \rangle - 2 \Re \langle B^* \mu' \mid p_k \mu' \rangle \leq 0 \\ \langle \mu \mid p_k \mu' \rangle = 0, \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Antonić, E., Michelangeli, 2017)

Any of the following three facts,

- (a) conditions (1) and (2) hold true, or
- (b) condition (1) holds true and $B : \text{dom } B \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a bijection, or
- (c) condition (2) holds true and $B^* : \text{dom } B^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a bijection,

is sufficient for (A_B, A_B^*) to be another adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (A_0, A'_0) .

Assume further that $\text{dom } A_r = \text{dom } A_r^*$. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (a) (A_B, A_B^*) is another adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map relative to (A_0, A'_0) ;
- (b) the mirror conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied.

Example 3 (First order ode on an interval) 1/2

$$L := L^2(0, 1), \mathcal{D} := C_c^\infty(0, 1)$$

$$T, \tilde{T} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow L,$$

$$T\phi := \frac{d}{dx}\phi + \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T}\phi := -\frac{d}{dx}\phi + \phi.$$

We have

$$\text{dom } \bar{T} = \text{dom } \tilde{\tilde{T}} = H_0^1(0, 1) =: W_0$$

$$\text{dom } T^* = \text{dom } \tilde{T}^* = H^1(0, 1) =: W,$$

Define

$$A_0 := \bar{T}, \quad A_0' := \tilde{\tilde{T}}, \quad A_1 := \tilde{T}^*, \quad A_1' := T^*.$$

As $_{W'}\langle Du, v \rangle_W = u(1)\overline{v(1)} - u(0)\overline{v(0)}$, for

$$V := \tilde{V} := \{u \in H^1(0, 1) : u(0) = u(1)\}$$

we have that $A_r := A_1|_V$, $A_r^* = A_1'|_V$ for an adjoint pair of bijective realisations with signed boundary map.

$\ker A_1 = \text{span}\{e^{-x}\}$ and $\ker A_1' = \text{span}\{e^x\}$, so

$$p_{\mathbf{k}}u = -\frac{u(1) - u(0)}{1 - e^{-1}}e^{-x}, \quad p_{\mathbf{k}'}u = \frac{u(1) - u(0)}{e - 1}e^x.$$

Example 3 (First order ode on an interval) 2/2

$\mathcal{V} = \ker A_1$, $\mathcal{W} = \ker A'_1$, $B_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$,

$$B_{\alpha,\beta}e^{-x} = (\alpha + i\beta)e^x$$

where $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

(1) simplifies to check

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{-x} | A'_1 e^{-x} \rangle - 2\Re \langle p_{k'e^{-x}} | B_{\alpha,\beta} e^{-x} \rangle &\leq 0 \\ \iff \alpha &\leq -e^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\{(A_{\alpha,\beta}, A_{\alpha,\beta}^*) : \alpha \leq -e^{-1}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(A_r, A_r^*)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } A_{\alpha,\beta}^{(*)} &= \left\{ u \in H^1(0, 1) : \left(2e^{-1} - (+)\alpha(1+e) - i\beta(1+e) \right) u(1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. = \left(2 + \alpha(1+e) - (+)i\beta(1+e) \right) u(0) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

...thank you for your attention :)



N. AntoniĆ, M.E., A. Michelangeli: *Friedrichs systems in a Hilbert space framework: solvability and multiplicity*, J. Differential Equations 263 (2017) 8264-8294.