

# Stability of Observations of Partial Differential Equations under Uncertain Perturbations

Martin Lazar  
University of Dubrovnik

Zagreb '16  
International workshop on PDEs: analysis and modelling  
Celebrating 80<sup>th</sup> anniversary of Prof. Nedžad Limić



WeConMApp

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Robust observability for the wave equation
- 3 Robust observability for the Schrödinger equation
- 4 Open problems and perspectives

# Observability problem for the wave equation

We consider the wave equation:

$$\partial_{tt}u - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x})\nabla u) = 0, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega$$

$$u(0, \cdot) = u^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$$

$$\partial_t u(0, \cdot) = \tilde{u}^0 \in H^{-1}\Omega$$

+ bounded conditions.

**Observability problem:** Under which conditions can we recover the (initial) energy of the system by observing the solution on a suitable subdomain?

$$E(0) \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} |u|^2 d\mathbf{x}dt?$$

$$E(0) := \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{u}^0\|_{H^{-1}}$$

**Answer**<sup>1</sup>: The observability region has to satisfy the Geometric Control Condition (GCC), stating that each characteristic ray has to enter the region in a finite time.

---

<sup>1</sup>C. BARDOS, G. LEBEAU, J. RAUCH, *Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control, and stabilization of waves from the boundary*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **30(5)** (1992) 1024–1065.

# Controllability problem for the wave equation

observability  $\iff$  controllability

The mentioned observability problem is equivalent to the following control one for the adjoint system:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{tt}v - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x})\nabla v) &= f\chi_{(0,T)\times\omega}, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ v(0, \cdot) &= v_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1\Omega \\ \partial_t v(0, \cdot) &= \tilde{v}_0 \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

For any given initial data can we find the control  $f$  such that the system is driven to an arbitrary (e.g. zero) state in a finite time:

$$v(T, \cdot) = \partial_t v(T, \cdot) = 0?$$

# Robust observability for the wave equation

We consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 u_1 &= \partial_{tt} u_1 - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_1(t, \mathbf{x}) \nabla u_1) = 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \\
 P_2 u_2 &= 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \\
 u_1 &= 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \partial\Omega \\
 u_1(0, \cdot) &= u_1^0 \in L^2(\Omega) \\
 \partial_t u_1(0, \cdot) &= \tilde{u}_1^0 \in H^{-1}(\Omega),
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$\Omega$  – is an open, bounded set in  $\mathbf{R}^d$

$\mathbf{A}_1$  – bounded, positive definite matrix field

$P_2$  – perturbation operator

$\mathcal{A}_1 = -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_1 \nabla)$  – the elliptic part of  $P_1$

Coefficients of both the operators are bounded and continuous.

# Robust observability for the wave equation

We assume for the 1<sup>st</sup> component

$$E_1(0) := \|u_1^0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_1^0\|_{H^{-1}}^2 \leq \tilde{C} \int_0^T \int_{\omega} |u_1|^2 d\mathbf{x}dt.$$

## The key problem

Under which conditions the observability estimate remains stable under perturbation of the solution by  $u_2$ ?

$$E_1(0) \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} |u_1 + u_2|^2 d\mathbf{x}dt.$$

# The relaxed observability inequality

## Theorem 1.

Suppose that characteristic sets  $\{p_i(t, \mathbf{x}, \tau, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0\}, i = 1, 2$  have no intersection for  $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \langle 0, T \rangle \times \omega, (\tau, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in S^d$ .

Then there exists a constant  $\tilde{C}$  such that the observability inequality

$$E_1(0) \leq \tilde{C} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\omega} |u_1 + u_2|^2 dx dt + \|u_1^0\|_{H^{-1}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_1^0\|_{H^{-2}}^2 \right) \quad (2)$$

holds for any pair of solutions  $(u_1, u_2)$  to (1).

- The theorem allows for quite a general class of perturbation operators.
- No assumption on initial/boundary data for the 2<sup>nd</sup> component.
- Non-hyperbolic operators directly satisfy the assumption.

# The relaxed observability inequality

- Non-hyperbolic operators directly satisfy the assumption.

Example:  $P_2$  – Schrödinger operator

$$p_2 = \mathbf{A}_2 \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0 \iff \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0$$

has no intersection with

$$p_1 = \tau^2 - \mathbf{A}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0.$$

- For the wave operator  $P_2$  the assumption reads as  $(\mathbf{A}_1 - \mathbf{A}_2)\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \neq 0$  on the observability region.
- The obstacle – compact term on the right hand side.

The proof

- goes by contradiction:
- based on [H-measures](#).



# H-measures

A **microlocal defect tool**: Suppose  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}$

$$\mu = 0 \text{ iff } u_n \longrightarrow u \text{ in } L^2_{\text{loc}} \text{ strongly}$$

## Localisation principle

$P$  – (pseudo)differential operator

$(u_n)$  – ( $L^2$  bounded) sequence of solutions to the equation

$$Pu_n = 0$$

For H-measure  $\mu \sim (u^n)$

$$p\mu = 0,$$

$p$  - the principal symbol of  $P$ .

Specially for the wave equation

$$\partial_{tt}u^n - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x})\nabla u^n) = 0,$$

the measure  $\mu \sim (\nabla u^n)$  satisfies

$$(\tau^2 - \mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})\mu = 0.$$

# The strong observability inequality

We want to get rid of the compact term in (2).

Additional assumptions:

- $P_2$  – an evolution operator

$$P_2 = (\partial_t)^k + c_2(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{A}_1, \quad k \in \mathbf{N},$$

$\mathcal{A}_1$  – an elliptic part of the wave operator  $P_1$ ,

$c_2$  – a bounded and continuous function,  $\begin{cases} \neq 1, & k = 2 \\ \neq 0, & k \neq 2 \end{cases}$  on  $\omega$ .

- **initial data** - related by a linear operator such that

$$\left( (u_1(0) + u_2(0))|_{\omega} = 0 \right) \implies \left( u_1(0)|_{\omega} = u_2(0)|_{\omega} = 0 \right),$$

and similarly for initial derivatives.

Then there is  $C \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that the **strong observability inequality** holds:

$$E_1(0) \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} |u_1 + u_2|^2 dx dt. \quad (3)$$

# Relation to the control theory

If:

- $P_2$  is a wave operator
- initial data coincide on the whole domain  $\Omega$

the strong observability inequality (3) is equivalent to the averaged controllability of the adjoint system under a single control

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt}v_i - \operatorname{div}(c_i \nabla v_i) &= \chi_{(0,T) \times \omega} f, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ v_i(0, \cdot) &= v_i^0 \in H^1(\Omega) \\ \partial_t v_i(0, \cdot) &= \tilde{v}_i^0 \in L^2(\Omega), \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega)$ . More precisely, the following result holds.

## Theorem

*For any choice of initial data of the system (4) and any final target  $(v^T, \tilde{v}^T) \in H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  there exists a control  $f$  such that*

$$(v_1 + v_2)(T, \cdot) = v^T, \quad \partial_t(v_1 + v_2)(T, \cdot) = \tilde{v}^T.$$

## Relation to the existing results

The last, averaged control result already obtained in:

**LZ14** M. L., E. ZUAZUA, *Averaged control and observation of parameter-dependent wave equations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **352** (2014) 497–502

Presented work generalises the observability results of [LZ14] by allowing for a general evolution operator  $P_2$  which does not have to be the wave one.

The proof of the relaxed observability inequality (2) does not rely on the propagation property of H-measures, which allows for system's coefficients to be merely continuous (instead of  $C^{1,1}$ ).

Such approach avoid technical issues related to the reflection of H-measures on the domain boundary.

# Observation of the Schrödinger equation under non-parabolic perturbations

We consider a system in which the first component, the one for which observation is made, satisfies the Schrödinger equation:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 u_1 &= i\partial_t u_1 + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{x})\nabla u_1) = 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ P_2 u_2 &= 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ u_1 &= 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \partial\Omega \\ u_1(0, \cdot) &= u_1^0 \in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We suppose the observability inequality holds for the main component

$$E_1(0) := \|u_1^0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \tilde{C} \int_0^T \int_{\omega} |u_1|^2 d\mathbf{x}dt.$$

Does it remain stable under additive perturbations by  $u_2$ ?

# Observation of the Schrödinger equation under non-parabolic perturbations

As for the wave equation we need to assume separation of characteristic sets  $\{p_i(t, \mathbf{x}, \tau, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0\}, i = 1, 2$ .

**The problem** - how to obtain the separation for two Schrödinger operators?

$$p_1 = \mathbf{A}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0 \iff \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0$$

and similarly

$$p_2 = \mathbf{A}_2 \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0 \iff \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0$$

No separation of coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_i$  will imply separation of characteristic sets.

**The solution:** – a microlocal defect tool better adopted to a study of parabolic problems:

parabolic H-measures

## Parabolic H-measures <sup>2</sup>

- similar to the original ones
- difference in scaling of the dual variable, take into account 1:2 ratio between time and space variables

### Localisation principle for parabolic H-measures

$P$  – a (pseudo)differential operator whose *principal part* is of the type

$$\partial_t^m(a_0 \cdot) + \sum_{|\alpha|=2m} \partial_x^\alpha(a_\alpha \cdot)$$

$a_0, a_\alpha$  – bounded and continuous coefficients

$(u_n)$  –  $(L^2(\mathbf{R}^{1+d}))$  bounded) sequence of solutions to the equation

$$Pu_n = 0$$

For a parabolic H-measure  $\mu \sim (u^n)$

$$\left( (2\pi i\tau)^m a_0 + \sum_{|\alpha|=2m} (2\pi i\xi)^\alpha a_\alpha \right) \mu = 0.$$

<sup>2</sup>N. ANTONIĆ, M.L.: *Parabolic H-measures*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013) 1190–1239.

## Example: – the Schrödinger operator

Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence of solutions to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u_n + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x})\nabla u_n) = 0.$$

The associated parabolic H-measure  $\mu$  satisfies

$$(2\pi\tau + 4\pi^2 \mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mu = 0,$$

The measure  $\mu$  is supported within the *parabolic characteristic set*

$$2\pi\tau = -4\pi^2 \mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}.$$

Consequence: two Schrödinger operators with separated coefficients

$$(\mathbf{A}_1 - \mathbf{A}_2)\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \neq 0$$

have disjoint characteristic sets, as well as supports of corresponding parabolic H-measures.



# Robust observation of the Schrödinger equation

We reconsider the system (5)

$$\begin{aligned}P_1 u_1 &= i\partial_t u_1 + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{x})\nabla u_1) = 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \\P_2 u_2 &= 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega \\u_1 &= 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \partial\Omega \\u_1(0, \cdot) &= u_1^0 \in L^2(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

We suppose the observability inequality holds for the main component

$$E_1(0) := \|u_1^0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \tilde{C} \int_0^T \int_{\omega} |u_1|^2 dx dt.$$

# Robust observation of the Schrödinger equation

As for the wave equation we assume:

- $P_2$  – an evolution operator

$$P_2 = (\partial_t)^k + c_2(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{A}_1, \quad k \geq 1,$$

$\mathcal{A}_1$  – an elliptic part of the wave operator  $P_1$ ,

$c_2$  – a bounded and continuous function,  $\begin{cases} \neq 1, & k = 1 \\ \neq 0, & k > 1 \end{cases}$  on  $\omega$ .

- **initial data** - related by a linear operator such that

$$\left( (u_1(0) + u_2(0))|_{\omega} = 0 \right) \implies \left( u_1(0)|_{\omega} = u_2(0)|_{\omega} = 0 \right),$$

Then there is  $C \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that the **strong observability inequality** holds:

$$E_1(0) \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} |u_1 + u_2|^2 dx dt.$$

# Open problems and perspectives

Ongoing work:

- to obtain the result for a more general perturbation operator  $P_2$
- to remove constraints on initial data
- to consider larger systems:
  - with  $N$  components;
  - or even infinite number of them (both discrete and continuous).

Such generalisations already obtained for a relaxed observability inequality.

Technical difficulties related to the passage to the strong inequality.

The solution - a better microlocal defect tool:

1-scale H-measures.

Happy anniversary!