Transport-collapse scheme for heterogeneous scalar conservation laws

Abstract

We extend Brenier's transport collapse scheme on the Cauchy problem for heterogeneous scalar conservation laws. It is based on averaging out the solution to the corresponding kinetic equation, and it necessarily converges toward the entropy admissible solution. We also provide numerical examples.

Introduction

We deal with is the initial value problem for heterogeneous scalar conservation laws. In order to introduce it, let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ be a bounded smooth domain and $\mathbf{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$. We consider for $f \in C^2(\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{R}^d)$

 $\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} f(t, x, u) = 0, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \Omega, \quad (1)$ $u|_{t=0} = u_0(\mathbf{x}),$ (2)

If not stated otherwise, we assume that $u_0 \in$ $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap BV(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We also assume that $a \leq u_0 \leq b$ for some constant a, b > 0.

A typical problem described by (1), (2), arises e.g. in traffic flow models or fluid dynamics.

DEFINITION 1. A bounded function u is called an entropy admissible solution to with the initial conditions (2) if for every convex function $V \in C^2(\mathbf{R})$, every $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and every $\varphi \in C_c^1([0,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^d)$, it holds

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{+}\times\mathbf{R}^{d}} \begin{bmatrix} V(u)\partial_{t}\varphi + \int_{a}^{u} f_{\lambda}'(t,\mathbf{x},v) V'(v)dv \cdot \nabla\varphi \\ + \int_{a}^{u} \operatorname{div}_{-} f(t,\mathbf{x},v) V''(v)dv \varphi \end{bmatrix} d\mathbf{x}dt + \int_{-}^{-} V(u_{0}(\mathbf{x}))\varphi(0,\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$$
(3)

Equivalent and more usual definition of admissible solution is given by the Kruzhkov entropies V(u) = $|u-\lambda|, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, and it states that a bounded function u is called an entropy admissible solution to (1), (2) if for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ it holds

$$\partial_t |u - \lambda| + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}}[\operatorname{sgn}(u - \lambda)(f(t, \mathbf{x}, u) - f(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda))]$$
(4)

$$+\operatorname{sgn}(u-\lambda)\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}}f(t,\mathbf{x},\lambda) \leq 0$$

in the sense of distributions on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^d_+)$, and it holds $esslim_{t\to 0} \int_{\Omega} |u(t,\mathbf{x}) - u_0(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} = 0$. By finding derivative with respect to λ in (4) one reaches to the kinetic formulation (see e.g. [10, 8] for different variants).

Andrej Novak

Joint work with Darko Mitrović Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb, Croatia

Kinetic formulation

THEOREM. function u|5| The \in $C([0,\infty); L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}((0,\infty); L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d))$ is the entropy admissible solution to (1), (2) if and only if there exists a non-negative Radon measure ∂_t $m(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$ such that $m((0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^{d+1}) < \infty$ for all T > 0 and such that the function $1, \quad 0 \le \lambda \le u$ $\{-1, u \leq \lambda \leq 0, \text{ represents the} \}$ $\chi(\lambda, u) = \langle$ 0, elsedistributional solution to $\partial_t \chi + \operatorname{div}_{(\mathbf{x},\lambda)}[F(t,\mathbf{x},\lambda)\chi] = \partial_\lambda m(t,\mathbf{x},\lambda), \quad (t,\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^d,$ (C

$$\chi(\lambda, u(t=0, \mathbf{x})) = \chi(\lambda, u_0(\mathbf{x})),$$

where
$$F = (f'_{\lambda}, -\sum_{j=1}^{a} \partial_{x_j} f_j).$$

Remark that through the kinetic concept, one reduces the nonlinear equation (1) on the linear (so called kinetic) equation. However, derivative of a measure figures in the equation (see the right-hand side of (5)) and it has one more variable (so called kinetic or velocity variable). Due to the former reason, the kinetic equation is not convenient for numerical implementation.

Never the less, if we neglect the derivative of the measure, and then average out the solution to the obtained linear equation with respect to the kinetic variable, we can obtain entropy solution to the considered problem. Such a procedure is proposed in [2]for equation homogeneous case, interestingly more $+\int_{a} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} f(t, \mathbf{x}, v) V''(v) dv \varphi d\mathbf{x} dt + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} V(u_{0}(\mathbf{x})) \varphi(0, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \leq 0.$ than ten years before the kinetic concept was formalized in [10]. We aim to extend the transportcollapse scheme [2] for the initial value problem for heterogeneous scalar conservation laws.

Let us now state properties of the function χ . **PROPOSITION** [2, page 1018] It holds

a)
$$\forall u, v \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$$
 such that
 $u \ge v \implies \chi(\lambda, u) \ge \chi(\lambda, v);$

b)
$$\forall u \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d), \forall g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}), \text{ it holds}$$

 $\iint \chi(\lambda, u)g(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)d\mathbf{x}d\lambda = \int (\int_a^u g(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)d\lambda) d\mathbf{x};$
In particular, if $g = G'_{\lambda}$ and $G(a) = 0$, then
 $\iint \chi(\lambda, u)g(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)d\mathbf{x}d\lambda = \int G(\mathbf{x}, u)d\mathbf{x}$
c) $TV(u) = \int TV(\chi(\lambda, \cdot))d\lambda.$

DEFINITION. The transport collapse operator T(t)is defined for every $u \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by

a) *i* **b**) *J*

d)T

e) |

Transport collapse operator

The idea of the transport collapse scheme for the initial value problem (1), (2) is to solve problem (5),(6) when we omit the right-hand side in (5):

$$h + \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x},\lambda}[F(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)h] = 0, \quad h|_{t=0} = \chi(\lambda, u_0(\mathbf{x})).$$
(7)

The solution of this equation is obtained via the method of characteristics. They are given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = f_{\lambda}', \quad \mathbf{x}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{x}_{0}, \\ \dot{\lambda} = -\sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial_{x_{j}} f_{j}(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda), \quad \lambda|_{t=0} = \lambda_{0}. \end{cases}$$
(8)

The solution to (7) has the form

$$h(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \chi(\lambda_0(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda), u_0(\mathbf{x}_0(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda))).$$
(9)

$$T(t)u(\mathbf{x}) = \int \chi(\lambda_0(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda), u(\mathbf{x}_0(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda))) d\lambda.$$
(10)

It satisfies the following properties which are the same as the ones from [2, Proposition 1]. **PROPOSITION.** It holds for every $u, v \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$

$$u \le v$$
 a.e. implies $T(t)u \le T(t)v$ a.e;
 $T(t)u(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \int u(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x};$

c) the operator T(t) is non-expansive

$$\|T(t)u - T(t)v\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R}^{d})} \leq \|u - v\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R}^{d})},$$

and, in particular, $\|T(t)u\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R}^{d})} \leq \|u\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R}^{d})};$
 $TV(T(t)u) \leq (1 + C_{1}t) TV(u) + tC_{2},$ where TV
is the total variation and C_{1} and C_{2} are
appropriate constants depending on the C^{2} -bounds of the flux $f;$

$$|T(t)u - u||_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq C_2 TV(u)t + tC_1$$
 for the
constants C_1 and C_2 from the previous item;

PROPOSITION. For any smooth positive test function φ , any $u \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ such that $a \leq u \leq b$, and convex Lipschitz function $V : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$(V(T(t)u) - V(u))(\mathbf{x})\varphi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$$
(11)

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int B_{V}(t', \mathbf{x}, u(\mathbf{x})) \nabla \varphi d\mathbf{x} dt'$$
 (12)

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int \int_{a}^{a} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{x}} f(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) V''(\lambda) d\lambda dt' + o(t), \quad t \to 0$$

where $B_V(t, \mathbf{x}, u) = \int_a^u f'_{\lambda}(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) V'(\lambda) d\lambda$, and o(t)depends only on the L^{∞} -bound of u.

where

formula

1	1					2	i	1	
0.8	1				•				
0.6	1		8	•	i			8	
0.4	1	8	3	2000	•	ł	•	•	
0.2			 	ę	•	•			
0	Å		 						
12									1

Figure

Acknowledgements: This research was supported by the Croatian Science Foundation, project number 9780 Weak convergence methods and applications (WeConMApp).

	J.	A
	ult	tr
	(20))]
	Y.	I
	J.	0
	В	С
I	for	r
	32	(
	М.	. (
	CO	n
	A.	Ι
	lat	W
	47	5-
	J.	Γ
	H.	ł
	Ap)ľ
	С.	Ι
	CO	n
	Ar	18
	S.	Ν
	va	r
[C	Р.	I
-	m	U
	٦ <i>ϵ</i>	

[9]

Main theorem

THEOREM. Denote

$$S_n(t)u = (1 - \alpha)T(\frac{t}{n})^k u + \alpha T(\frac{t}{n})^{k+1} u, \qquad (13)$$

$$t = \frac{(k+\alpha)}{n}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \alpha \in [0,1).$$
 (14)

For each initial value $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $a \leq u_0 \leq b$, the unique entropy solution of (1), (2) at time t is given by the

$$u(t, \cdot) = L^1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n(t)u.$$

Numerical simulations



1: Cauchy problem with initial condition $u_0(x) =$ $H_{\epsilon}(-x)$ (left) and $u_0(x) = H_{\epsilon}(x)$ (right).

References

Aleksić, D. Mitrović, Strong traces for averaged solutions of heterogeneous tra-parabolic transport equations, J. of Hyperbolic Differential Equations 10 14), 659–676.

Brenier, Averaged multivalued solutions for scalar conservation laws, SIAM on Numerical Analysis 21 (1984), 1013–1037.

Cockburn, F Coquel, PG LeFloch, Convergence of the finite volume method multidimensional conservation laws, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis (1995), 687-705.

G. Crandall, A. Majda, Monotone difference approximations for scalar nservation laws, Math. Comput. 34 (1981), 1–21

L. Dalibard, *Kinetic formulation for heterogeneous scalar conservation* vs, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis 23 (2006), -500

Dieudonne, Calcul infinitemsimal, Hermann, Paris, 1968.

Holden, N. H. Risebro, Front tracking for hyperbolic conservation laws, plied Mathematics Sciences 152, Springer, 2011.

Imbert, J. Vovelle, Kinetic formulation for multidimensional scalar iservation laws with boundary conditions and applications, SIAM J. Math. nal. 36 (2004), 214-232.

N. Kruzhkov, First order quasilinear equations in several independent *riables*, Mat. Sb., 81 (1970), 217–243.

L. Lions, B. Perthame, E. Tadmor, A kinetic formulation of ultidimensional scalar conservation law and related equations, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1994), 169–191