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## Symbol classes

$S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{m} \ldots$ for $|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}$ it holds

$$
\left(\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\left(\forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \quad\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\rho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|},
$$

where $\langle\xi\rangle=\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
norm: $|\sigma|_{N, N^{\prime}}^{(m, \rho, \delta)}=\max _{|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}} \sup _{x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right|}{\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\rho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|}}$
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where $\langle\xi\rangle=\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
norm: $|\sigma|_{N, N^{\prime}}^{(m, \rho, \delta)}=\max _{|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}} \sup _{x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right|}{\langle\xi)^{m-\rho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|}}$
$\dot{S}_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m} \ldots$ for $|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}$ it holds

$$
\left(\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\left(\forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \quad\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle x\rangle^{q-|\alpha|}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\rho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|}
$$

norm: $|\sigma|_{N, N^{\prime}}^{(q, m, \rho, \delta)}=\max _{|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}} \sup _{x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right|}{\left.\langle x)^{q-|\alpha|} \mid \xi\right)^{m-\rho}|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|}$

## All in one

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m} \ldots \text { for }|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime} \text { it holds } \\
& \quad\left(\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\left(\forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \quad\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle x\rangle^{q}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\rho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|}
\end{aligned}
$$

It contains both $S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{m}$ (as a special case) and $\dot{S}_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}$ (as a subclass).

## Notation

For $N, N^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ we define an equivalent family of semi-norms on $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with

$$
|\varphi|_{N, N^{\prime}}=\sup _{|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \varphi(x)\right|,
$$

and by $\mathcal{S}_{N, N^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ we denote the Banach space of all functions $\varphi \in C^{N^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for which $|\varphi|_{N, N^{\prime}}<\infty$.

## Notation

For $N, N^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ we define an equivalent family of semi-norms on $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with

$$
|\varphi|_{N, N^{\prime}}=\sup _{|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \varphi(x)\right|,
$$

and by $\mathcal{S}_{N, N^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ we denote the Banach space of all functions $\varphi \in C^{N^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for which $|\varphi|_{N, N^{\prime}}<\infty$.

Together with standard notation for partial derivatives $\partial_{x}^{\alpha}$ we also use $D_{x}^{\alpha}=(-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial_{x}^{\alpha}$ and $\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{2 k}=\left(1-\triangle_{x}\right)^{k}$, where $\triangle$ is the Laplace operator.
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Together with standard notation for partial derivatives $\partial_{x}^{\alpha}$ we also use $D_{x}^{\alpha}=(-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial_{x}^{\alpha}$ and $\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{2 k}=\left(1-\triangle_{x}\right)^{k}$, where $\triangle$ is the Laplace operator.

By $C$ we always denote a constant, even if it changes during calculation, while $C_{p}$ is a constant depending on parameter $p$.

By $\lfloor x\rfloor$ we denote the largest integer not greater than $x$, while $\lfloor x\rfloor_{2}$ is the largest even integer not greater than $x$.

TDO - definition and continuity
For $\sigma \in S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{m}$ or $\sigma \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}$ we denote the corresponding pseudodifferential operator $T_{\sigma}$ by

$$
T_{\sigma} \varphi(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) d \xi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right),
$$

where $d \xi=(2 \pi)^{-d} d \xi$.

## $\Psi D O$ - definition and continuity
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where $d \xi=(2 \pi)^{-d} d \xi$.
Lemma 1. $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S}_{N, N^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{N^{\prime}, N-d-1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is a linear bounded mapping for $N \geq d+1$. More precisely, there is a constant $C_{N, N^{\prime}}>0$ such that

$$
|\hat{\varphi}|_{N^{\prime}, N-d-1} \leq C_{N, N^{\prime}}|\varphi|_{N, N^{\prime}} \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{N, N^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) .
$$
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$$
|\hat{\varphi}|_{N^{\prime}, N-d-1} \leq C_{N, N^{\prime}}|\varphi|_{N, N^{\prime}} \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{N, N^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) .
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Theorem 1. Let $\sigma \in S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{m}$. Then $T_{\sigma}$ is a bounded mapping from $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ to $\mathcal{S}_{N^{\prime}, N}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, and from $\mathcal{S}_{M, M^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\min \left\{N^{\prime}, M-d-1\right\}, \min \left\{N, M^{\prime}-m-d-1\right\}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), M \geq d+1, M^{\prime} \geq m+d+1$. More precisely, there is a constant $C_{k, l}>0$ such that

$$
\left|T_{\sigma} \varphi\right|_{k, l} \leq C_{k, l}|\sigma|_{l, k}^{(m, \rho, \delta)}|\varphi|_{d+1+k, m+d+1+l}
$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ for which semi-norms are well-defined.

## Remark on $\dot{S}$

Theorem 1 holds also for $\sigma \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}, q \leq 0$ as in that case we have $\dot{S}_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m} \subseteq S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{m}$. For $q>0$ we cannot estimate $\langle x\rangle^{q}$.
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1) to prove that this composition is again a pseudodifferential operator with a symbol in a suitable class and to obtain an exact formula and an asymptotic expansion for its symbol.
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## Goals:

1) to prove that this composition is again a pseudodifferential operator with a symbol in a suitable class and to obtain an exact formula and an asymptotic expansion for its symbol.
2) to do the same for a formal adjoint of $T_{\sigma}$, where $\sigma \in S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{m}$.

## Amplitudes

The space of amplitudes $\mathcal{A}_{N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m, \delta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right), q, m \in \mathbf{R}, \delta \in[0,1), N, N^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$, is the set of functions $a: \mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$
\left|\partial_{y}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} a(y, \eta)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle y\rangle^{q}\langle\eta\rangle^{m+\delta|\alpha|}
$$

uniformly in $y, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ for all $|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}$, and where all partial derivatives are understood to be continuous.
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uniformly in $y, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ for all $|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}$, and where all partial derivatives are understood to be continuous.
$\mathcal{A}_{N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m, \delta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is the Banach space with the norm

$$
|a|_{\mathcal{A}_{N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m, \delta}}=\max _{|\alpha| \leq N,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}} \sup _{y, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{d}} \frac{\left|\partial_{y}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} a(y, \eta)\right|}{\langle y\rangle^{q}\langle\eta\rangle^{m+\delta|\alpha|}} .
$$

## Oscillatory integrals

Theorem 2. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right), q, m \in \mathbf{R}, \delta \in[0,1), N, N^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}$, $N>\frac{m+d}{1-\delta}, N^{\prime}>q+d$, and let $\chi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\chi(0,0)=1$. Then

$$
\iint e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) d y d \eta:=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint \chi(\epsilon y, \epsilon \eta) e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) d y d \eta
$$

exists
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exists and

$$
\iint e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) d y d \eta=\iint e^{-i y \eta}\langle y\rangle^{-2 l^{\prime}}\left\langle D_{\eta}\right\rangle^{2 l^{\prime}}\left(\langle\eta\rangle^{-2 l}\left\langle D_{y}\right\rangle^{2 l} a(y, \eta)\right) d y d \eta,
$$

where $l, l^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ are chosen so that the integrand is in $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, namely $N \geq 2 l>\frac{m+d}{1-\delta}, N^{\prime} \geq 2 l^{\prime}>q+d$. Moreover, the definition does not depend on $\chi$ and

$$
\left|\iint e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) d y d \eta\right| \leq C_{q, m, \delta}|a|_{\mathcal{A}_{2 l, 2 l^{\prime}}^{q, m, \delta}} .
$$

## Oscillatory = iterated

We can notice that the previous theorem simplifies if $q<-d$ or $m<-d$, and that for $q, m<-d$ we actually have an absolutely convergent integral.
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## Oscillatory $=$ iterated

We can notice that the previous theorem simplifies if $q<-d$ or $m<-d$, and that for $q, m<-d$ we actually have an absolutely convergent integral.

For example, if $q<-d$, we can take $\chi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\chi(0)=1$ and equivalently define the oscillatory integral as

$$
\iint e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) d y đ \eta:=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint \chi(\epsilon \eta) e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) d y đ \eta
$$

In this case the oscillatory integral is equal to an iterated integral, whenever the latter exists.

For simplicity, in the sequel we sometimes consider only the case $q, m \geq-d$ as the most interesting one.

## Change of variables

For $\chi(y, \eta) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\chi(0,0)=1$ a function $\chi(A(y, \eta))$, where $A$ is the regular real matrix, has the same properties and so we are allowed to make a linear change of variables $(y, \eta)=A\left(y^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$ in the oscillatory integral as long as $y \eta=y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$, in which case we have

$$
\iint e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) d y d \eta=\iint e^{-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} a\left(A\left(y^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)|\operatorname{det} A| d y^{\prime} d \eta^{\prime}
$$

## Change of variables

For $\chi(y, \eta) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\chi(0,0)=1$ a function $\chi(A(y, \eta))$, where $A$ is the regular real matrix, has the same properties and so we are allowed to make a linear change of variables $(y, \eta)=A\left(y^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$ in the oscillatory integral as long as $y \eta=y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$, in which case we have

$$
\iint e^{-i y \eta} a(y, \eta) d y \nexists \eta=\iint e^{-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} a\left(A\left(y^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)|\operatorname{det} A| d y^{\prime} d \eta^{\prime}
$$

Moreover, this change of variables can be performed without the requirement $y \eta=y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$ if we replace $y \eta$ in the definition of the oscillatory integral with a general nondegenerate real quadratic form. In that case we are not able to obtain the representation from Theorem 2.

## The Fubini theorem

Theorem 3. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m, \delta}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d+k} \times \mathbf{R}^{d+k}\right), q, m \in \mathbf{R}, \delta \in[0,1)$ and $N, N^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ with

$$
N \geq \frac{|m|+k+2}{1-\delta}, \quad N^{\prime} \geq|q|+k+2 .
$$

Then

$$
b(y, \eta):=\iint e^{-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} a\left(y, y^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} đ \eta^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{N-2 l, N^{\prime}-2 l^{\prime}}^{q, m+\delta, 0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right),
$$

where integration is with respect to $\mathbf{R}^{k} \times \mathbf{R}^{k}, 2 l>|m|+\delta N+k$, $2 l^{\prime}>|q|+k$, and

$$
\partial_{y}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} b(y, \eta)=\iint e^{-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} \partial_{y}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} a\left(y, y^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} d \eta^{\prime},
$$

for $|\alpha| \leq N-2 l,|\beta| \leq N^{\prime}-2 l^{\prime}$.

The Fubini theorem - cont.

Theorem 3. Moreover, if $\delta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right), q, m \geq-d$ and $N, N^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}$ with

$$
N>\frac{m+|m|+\max \{d, k\}+d+k+2}{1-2 \delta}, \quad N^{\prime}>q+|q|+\max \{d, k\}+d+k+2
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iiint \int e^{-i y \eta-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} & a\left(y, y^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) d y d y^{\prime} đ \eta đ \eta^{\prime} \\
& =\iint e^{-i y \eta}\left(\iint e^{-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} a\left(y, y^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} đ \eta^{\prime}\right) d y đ \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

## Operators with double symbols

$T_{\sigma}^{D} \varphi(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} e^{i\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi+i\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}} \sigma\left(x, \xi, x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) d x^{\prime \prime} d \xi^{\prime} d x^{\prime} d \xi$,
where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, the integrals have to be understood as iterated integrals and the symbol $\sigma$ belongs to one of the following two classes.
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T_{\sigma}^{D} \varphi(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} e^{i\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi+i\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}} \sigma\left(x, \xi, x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) d x^{\prime \prime} d \xi^{\prime} d x^{\prime} d \xi,
$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, the integrals have to be understood as iterated integrals and the symbol $\sigma$ belongs to one of the following two classes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1},,_{2}, m_{2}} \\
& \left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} \sigma\left(x, \xi, x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{q_{1}}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_{1}-\rho_{1}|\beta|+\delta_{1}|\alpha|}\left\langle x^{\prime}\right\rangle^{q_{2}}\left\langle\xi^{\prime}\right\rangle^{m_{2}-\rho_{2}\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|+\delta_{2}\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $q_{1}=q_{2}=0$ we denote this class as $S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{m_{1}, \text {. }}$

## Operators with double symbols

$$
T_{\sigma}^{D} \varphi(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} e^{i\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi+i\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}} \sigma\left(x, \xi, x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) d x^{\prime \prime} d \xi^{\prime} d x^{\prime} d \xi,
$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, the integrals have to be understood as iterated integrals and the symbol $\sigma$ belongs to one of the following two classes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1},{ }_{2}} \cdots \\
& \left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} \sigma\left(x, \xi, x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{q_{1}}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_{1}-\rho_{1}|\beta|+\delta_{1}|\alpha|}\left\langle x^{\prime}\right\rangle^{q_{2}}\left\langle\xi^{\prime}\right\rangle^{m_{2}-\rho_{2}\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|+\delta_{2}\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $q_{1}=q_{2}=0$ we denote this class as $S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{m_{1},}$

$$
\dot{S}_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}} \sigma\left(x, \xi, x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C\langle x\rangle^{q_{1}-|\alpha|}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_{1}-\rho_{1}|\beta|+\delta_{1}|\alpha|}\left\langle x^{\prime}\right\rangle^{q_{2}-\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}\left\langle\xi^{\prime}\right\rangle^{m_{2}-\rho_{2}\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|+\delta_{2}\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Fubini theorem for double symbols

Theorem 4. Let $a \in S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1}, q_{2}, m_{2}}$ with $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}$. If

$$
N_{2}>\frac{m_{2}+d}{1-\delta_{2}}, \quad N_{2}^{\prime}>q_{2}+d
$$

then

$$
b(y, \eta):=\iint e^{-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} a\left(y, y^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} đ \eta^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1}, \delta_{1}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)
$$

and

$$
\partial_{y}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} b(y, \eta)=\iint e^{-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} \partial_{y}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} a\left(y, y^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} đ \eta^{\prime}
$$

for $|\alpha| \leq N_{1},|\beta| \leq N_{1}^{\prime}$.

The Fubini theorem for double symbols - cont.

Theorem 4. Moreover, if $q_{1}, q_{2}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq-d$ and

$$
N_{1}, N_{2}>\frac{\tilde{m}+(3-\delta) d+4(1-\delta)}{(1-\delta)^{2}}, \quad N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}^{\prime}>\tilde{q}+3 d+4
$$

where $\tilde{q}=\max \left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{1}+q_{2}\right\}, \tilde{m}=\max \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}$ and $\delta=\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\iiint \int e^{-i y \eta-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} a & a\left(y, y^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) d y d y^{\prime} đ \eta む \eta^{\prime} \\
& =\iint e^{-i y \eta}\left(\iint e^{-i y^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}} a\left(y, y^{\prime}, \eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} d \eta^{\prime}\right) d y đ \eta
\end{array}
$$

## Asymptotic expansion I

We want to show that for regular enough symbols we have $T_{\sigma}^{D}=T_{\sigma_{L}}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{L}(x, \xi):=\iint e^{-i y \eta} \sigma(x, \xi+\eta, x+y, \xi) d y d \eta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next two theorems we first derive asymptotic expansions for $\sigma_{L}$.

## Asymptotic expansion I

We want to show that for regular enough symbols we have $T_{\sigma}^{D}=T_{\sigma_{L}}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{L}(x, \xi):=\iint e^{-i y \eta} \sigma(x, \xi+\eta, x+y, \xi) d y d \eta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next two theorems we first derive asymptotic expansions for $\sigma_{L}$.

Theorem 5. Let $\sigma \in S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}, \rho}^{m_{1}, m_{2}} \rho=\min \left\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\}$, $\delta=\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$, and let $\sigma_{L}$ be defined by (1). If

$$
N_{2} \geq \rho_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}+\left|m_{1}\right|+\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)(d+2), \quad N_{1}^{\prime} \geq d+2
$$

then

$$
\sigma_{L} \in S_{\rho-\rho_{1} \delta_{2}, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\rho_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|m_{1}\right|-\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)(d+2)}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor, N_{1}^{\prime}-d-2}^{m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)} .
$$

## Asymptotic expansion I - cont.

Theorem 5. Moreover, if (for some $K \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ )
$\rho_{1}>\frac{\delta_{2}}{1-\delta_{2}}, \quad N_{2} \geq \rho_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}+\left|m_{1}\right|+\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)(d+2)+K+1, \quad N_{1}^{\prime} \geq K+d+3$,
then we have

$$
\sigma_{L}(x, \xi)=\sum_{|\gamma| \leq K} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} D_{x^{\prime}}^{\gamma} \sigma(x, \xi, x, \xi)+r_{L}^{(K)}(x, \xi),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{L}^{(K)} \in S^{m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)-\left(\rho_{1}-\delta_{2}-\rho_{1} \delta_{2}\right)(K+1)} \\
\rho-\rho_{1} \delta_{2}, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\rho_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|m_{1}\right|-\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)(d+2)-K-1}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor, N_{1}^{\prime}-K-d-3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Asymptotic expansion II

Theorem 6. Let $\sigma \in \dot{S}_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1}, q_{2}, m_{2}} \rho=\min \left\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\}$,
$\delta=\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$, and let $\sigma_{L}$ be defined by (1). If

$$
N_{2} \geq\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2, \quad N_{1}^{\prime} \geq\left|q_{2}\right|+\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)}{1+\delta_{1}}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{L} \in \dot{S}^{q_{1}+q_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)} \\
& 0, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|-d-2}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|q_{2}\right|-\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

## Asymptotic expansion II

Theorem 6. Let $\sigma \in \dot{S}_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1}, q_{2}, m_{2}} \rho=\min \left\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\}$,
$\delta=\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$, and let $\sigma_{L}$ be defined by (1). If

$$
N_{2} \geq\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2, \quad N_{1}^{\prime} \geq\left|q_{2}\right|+\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)}{1+\delta_{1}}
$$

then

$$
\left.\sigma_{L} \in \dot{S}_{0, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|-d-2}{q_{1}+q_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)}\right\rfloor}^{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|q_{2}\right|-\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor .
$$

Moreover, if (for some $K \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ ) $\rho_{1} \geq \frac{\delta_{2}}{1-\delta_{2}}$ and

$$
N_{2} \geq\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2+\left(1+\rho_{1}\right)(K+1), \quad N_{1}^{\prime} \geq\left|q_{2}\right|+\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)-2\left(\rho_{1}-\delta_{1}\right)(K+1)}{1+\delta_{1}},
$$

then we have

$$
\sigma_{L}(x, \xi)=\sum_{|\gamma| \leq K} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} D_{x^{\prime}}^{\gamma} \sigma(x, \xi, x, \xi)+r_{L}^{(K)}(x, \xi)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{L}^{(K)} \in \dot{S}^{q_{1}+q_{2}-K-1, m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)-\left(\rho_{1}-\delta_{2}-\rho_{1} \delta_{2}\right)(K+1)} \\
& \quad 0, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|-d-2-\left(1+\rho_{1}\right)(K+1)}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|q_{2}\right|-\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)-2\left(\rho_{1}-\delta_{1}\right)(K+1)}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
T_{\sigma}^{D}=T_{\sigma_{L}}
$$

Theorem 7. Let $\sigma\left(x, \xi, x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{1}(x, \xi) \sigma_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \in S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, \rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1}, q_{2}, m_{2}}$. If $N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}, M^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}, q_{1} \leq 0, q_{2} \in[-d, 0], m_{1}, m_{2} \geq-d$ and $N_{2}>\frac{m^{*}+3 d+4}{1-\delta_{2}}, \quad N_{2}^{\prime}>3 d+4, \quad N_{1}^{\prime}>N_{2}^{\prime}+q_{2}+3 d+4, \quad M>2 d+1, \quad M^{\prime}>\tilde{m}+\left(1+\delta_{2}\right) N_{2}+3 d+4$, then

$$
T_{\sigma}^{D} \varphi(x)=T_{\sigma_{L}} \varphi(x),
$$

where $m^{*}=\max \left\{\left|m_{1}\right|,\left|m_{1}\right|+m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}, \tilde{m}=\max \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{M, M^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.

## The composition theorem I

Theorem 8. Let $\sigma_{1} \in S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}}^{m_{1}}, \sigma_{2} \in S_{\rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{m_{2}}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq-d$,
$m^{*}=\max \left\{\left|m_{1}\right|,\left|m_{1}\right|+m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}, \tilde{m}=\max \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}$,
$\rho=\min \left\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\}, \delta=\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{M, M^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. If $N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}, M^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}$ and

$$
N_{2}>\frac{m^{*}+3 d+4}{1-\delta_{2}}, \quad N_{2}^{\prime}>3 d+4, \quad N_{1}^{\prime}>N_{2}^{\prime}+3 d+4, \quad M>2 d+1, \quad M^{\prime}>\tilde{m}+\left(1+\delta_{2}\right) N_{2}+3 d+4,
$$

then

$$
\left(T_{\sigma_{1}} \circ T_{\sigma_{2}}\right) \varphi(x)=T_{\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}} \varphi(x)
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}(x, \xi)=\iint e^{-i y \eta} \sigma_{1}(x, \xi+\eta) \sigma_{2}(x+y, \xi) d y đ \eta
$$

## The composition theorem I

Theorem 8. Let $\sigma_{1} \in S_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}}^{m_{1}}, \sigma_{2} \in S_{\rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{m_{2}}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq-d$,
$m^{*}=\max \left\{\left|m_{1}\right|,\left|m_{1}\right|+m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}, \tilde{m}=\max \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}$,
$\rho=\min \left\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\}, \delta=\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{M, M^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. If $N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}, M^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}$ and

$$
N_{2}>\frac{m^{*}+3 d+4}{1-\delta_{2}}, \quad N_{2}^{\prime}>3 d+4, \quad N_{1}^{\prime}>N_{2}^{\prime}+3 d+4, \quad M>2 d+1, \quad M^{\prime}>\tilde{m}+\left(1+\delta_{2}\right) N_{2}+3 d+4,
$$

then

$$
\left(T_{\sigma_{1}} \circ T_{\sigma_{2}}\right) \varphi(x)=T_{\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}} \varphi(x),
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}(x, \xi)=\iint e^{-i y \eta} \sigma_{1}(x, \xi+\eta) \sigma_{2}(x+y, \xi) d y đ \eta
$$

If additionally $N_{2} \geq \rho_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}+\left|m_{1}\right|+\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)(d+2)$, then

$$
\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2} \in S_{\rho-\rho_{1} \delta_{2}, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\rho_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|m_{1}\right|-\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)(d+2)}{m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)}\right\rfloor, N_{1}^{\prime}-d-2}^{1+\delta_{1}} .
$$

The composition theorem I-cont.

Theorem 8. Moreover, if (for some $K \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ )
$\rho_{1}>\frac{\delta_{2}}{1-\delta_{2}}, \quad N_{2} \geq \rho_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}+\left|m_{1}\right|+\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)(d+2)+K+1, \quad N_{1}^{\prime} \geq K+d+3$, then we have the following asymptotic expansion:

$$
\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}(x, \xi)=\sum_{|\gamma| \leq K} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} \sigma_{1}(x, \xi) D_{x}^{\gamma} \sigma_{2}(x, \xi)+r^{(K)}(x, \xi),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{(K)} \in S^{m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)-\left(\rho_{1}-\delta_{2}-\rho_{1} \delta_{2}\right)(K+1)} \\
\rho-\rho_{1} \delta_{2}, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\rho_{1} N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|m_{1}\right|-\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)(d+2)-K-1}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor, N_{1}^{\prime}-K-d-3
\end{aligned}
$$

## The composition theorem II

Theorem 9. Let $\sigma_{1} \in \dot{S}_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1}}, \sigma_{2} \in \dot{S}_{\rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{q_{2}, m_{2}} q_{1} \leq 0, q_{2} \in[-d, 0]$,
$m_{1}, m_{2} \geq-d, m^{*}=\max \left\{\left|m_{1}\right|,\left|m_{1}\right|+m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}$,
$\tilde{m}=\max \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}, \rho=\min \left\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\}, \delta=\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{M, M^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. If $N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}, M^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}$ and
$N_{2}>\frac{m^{*}+3 d+4}{1-\delta_{2}}, \quad N_{2}^{\prime}>3 d+4, \quad N_{1}^{\prime}>N_{2}^{\prime}+q_{2}+3 d+4, \quad M>2 d+1, \quad M^{\prime}>\tilde{m}+\left(1+\delta_{2}\right) N_{2}+3 d+4$,
then

$$
\left(T_{\sigma_{1}} \circ T_{\sigma_{2}}\right) \varphi(x)=T_{\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}} \varphi(x)
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}(x, \xi)=\iint e^{-i y \eta} \sigma_{1}(x, \xi+\eta) \sigma_{2}(x+y, \xi) d y đ \eta
$$

## The composition theorem II

Theorem 9. Let $\sigma_{1} \in \dot{S}_{\rho_{1}, \delta_{1}, N_{1}, N_{1}^{\prime}}^{q_{1}, m_{1}}, \sigma_{2} \in \dot{S}_{\rho_{2}, \delta_{2}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}}^{q_{2}, m_{2}} q_{1} \leq 0, q_{2} \in[-d, 0]$,
$m_{1}, m_{2} \geq-d, m^{*}=\max \left\{\left|m_{1}\right|,\left|m_{1}\right|+m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}$,
$\tilde{m}=\max \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}\right\}, \rho=\min \left\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\}, \delta=\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$ and
$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{M, M^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. If $N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}, N_{2}^{\prime}, M^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}$ and
$N_{2}>\frac{m^{*}+3 d+4}{1-\delta_{2}}, \quad N_{2}^{\prime}>3 d+4, \quad N_{1}^{\prime}>N_{2}^{\prime}+q_{2}+3 d+4, \quad M>2 d+1, \quad M^{\prime}>\tilde{m}+\left(1+\delta_{2}\right) N_{2}+3 d+4$,
then

$$
\left(T_{\sigma_{1}} \circ T_{\sigma_{2}}\right) \varphi(x)=T_{\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}} \varphi(x)
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}(x, \xi)=\iint e^{-i y \eta} \sigma_{1}(x, \xi+\eta) \sigma_{2}(x+y, \xi) d y d \eta
$$

If additionally $N_{1}^{\prime} \geq\left|q_{2}\right|+\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)}{1+\delta_{1}}$, then

$$
\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2} \in \dot{S}_{0, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|-d-2}{q_{1}+q_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|q_{2}\right|-\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor .}
$$

## The composition theorem II - cont.

Theorem 9. Moreover, if (for some $K \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ ) $\rho_{1} \geq \frac{\delta_{2}}{1-\delta_{2}}$ and

$$
N_{2} \geq\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2+\left(1+\rho_{1}\right)(K+1), \quad N_{1}^{\prime} \geq\left|q_{2}\right|+\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)-2\left(\rho_{1}-\delta_{1}\right)(K+1)}{1+\delta_{1}}
$$

then we have the following asymptotic expansion:

$$
\sigma_{1} \# \sigma_{2}(x, \xi)=\sum_{|\gamma| \leq K} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} \sigma_{1}(x, \xi) D_{x}^{\gamma} \sigma_{2}(x, \xi)+r^{(K)}(x, \xi),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{(K)} \in \dot{S}^{q_{1}+q_{2}-K-1, m_{1}+m_{2}+\delta_{2}\left(\left|m_{1}\right|+d+2\right)-\left(\rho_{1}-\delta_{2}-\rho_{1} \delta_{2}\right)(K+1)} \\
& \quad 0, \delta+\delta_{1} \delta_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|-d-2-\left(1+\rho_{1}\right)(K+1)}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor N_{1}^{\prime}-\left|q_{2}\right|-\frac{2\left(N_{2}-\left|m_{1}\right|\right)-\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(d+2)-2\left(\rho_{1}-\delta_{1}\right)(K+1)}{1+\delta_{1}}\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

## The adjoint

Now we define a formal adjoint of the operator with symbol $\sigma \in S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}$, $q \leq 0$. From Theorem 1 it follows that $T_{\sigma}$ maps $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ to $\mathcal{S}_{N^{\prime}, N}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Also, $\mathcal{S}_{N^{\prime}, N}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \subseteq L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for $N^{\prime}>\frac{d}{2}$. This motivates the following definition.

## The adjoint

Now we define a formal adjoint of the operator with symbol $\sigma \in S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}$, $q \leq 0$. From Theorem 1 it follows that $T_{\sigma}$ maps $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ to $\mathcal{S}_{N^{\prime}, N}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Also, $\mathcal{S}_{N^{\prime}, N}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \subseteq L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for $N^{\prime}>\frac{d}{2}$. This motivates the following definition.

## Definition

Let $\sigma \in S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}, \sigma^{*} \in S_{\rho^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}}^{q, m^{\prime}}, q \leq 0, M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}>\frac{d}{2}$. Then $T_{\sigma^{*}}$ is called a formal adjoint of $T_{\sigma}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\forall \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right) \quad\left\langle T_{\sigma} \varphi_{1} \mid \varphi_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi_{1} \mid T_{\sigma^{*}} \varphi_{2}\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ is the standard inner product on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.

## The adjoint theorem

Theorem 10. Let $\sigma \in S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}, q \in[-d, 0], m \geq-d$,
$m^{*}=\max \{|m|,|m|+m\}$. If $N, N^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}, \delta<\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and

$$
N>\frac{\left[m^{*}+(3-\delta) d+4(1-\delta)\right](1-\delta)^{2}}{1-3 \delta+\delta^{2}}, \quad N^{\prime}>2 q+6 d+10,
$$

then (2) is satisfied for

$$
\sigma^{*}(x, \xi)=\iint e^{-i y \eta} \overline{\sigma(x+y, \xi+\eta)} d y d \eta
$$
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$$
\sigma^{*} \in S_{\left.\rho, 0, L(1-\delta) N-\rho N^{\prime}-|m|-(1-\rho)(d+2)\right\rfloor, N^{\prime}-d-2}^{m+\delta N},
$$

## The adjoint theorem

Theorem 10. Let $\sigma \in S_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}, q \in[-d, 0], m \geq-d$,
$m^{*}=\max \{|m|,|m|+m\}$. If $N, N^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbf{N}_{0}, \delta<\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and

$$
N>\frac{\left[m^{*}+(3-\delta) d+4(1-\delta)\right](1-\delta)^{2}}{1-3 \delta+\delta^{2}}, \quad N^{\prime}>2 q+6 d+10,
$$

then (2) is satisfied for

$$
\sigma^{*}(x, \xi)=\iint e^{-i y \eta} \overline{\sigma(x+y, \xi+\eta)} d y d \eta .
$$
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$$

while if additionally $\sigma \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}$ and $N^{\prime} \geq|q|+2((1-\delta) N-|m|)-d-2$, then

$$
\sigma^{*} \in \dot{S}_{0,0,\lfloor(1-\delta) N-|m|-d-2\rfloor,\left\lfloor N^{\prime}-|q|-2((1-\delta) N-|m|)+d+2\right\rfloor}^{q, m+\delta N} .
$$

The adjoint theorem - cont.
Theorem 10. Moreover, if (for some $K \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ )

$$
\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}>\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}, \quad N \geq \frac{\rho N^{\prime}+|m|+(1-\rho)(d+2)+K+1}{1-\delta}, \quad N^{\prime} \geq K+d+3,
$$

then we have the following asymptotic expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{*}(x, \xi)=\sum_{|\gamma| \leq K} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} D_{x}^{\gamma} \overline{\sigma(x, \xi)}+r_{*}^{(K)}(x, \xi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
r_{*}^{(K)} \in S_{\rho, 0,\left\lfloor(1-\delta) N-\rho N^{\prime}-|m|-(1-\rho)(d+2)-K-1\right\rfloor, N^{\prime}-K-d-3}^{m+\delta N-\rho(K+1)}
$$
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In the case $\sigma \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta, N, N^{\prime}}^{q, m}$ the asymptotic expansion (3) is valid also for

$$
\frac{\rho}{1+\rho} \geq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta}, \quad N \geq \frac{|m|+d+2+(1+\rho)(K+1)}{1-\delta}, \quad N^{\prime} \geq|q|+2((1-\delta) N-|m|)-d-2-2 \rho(K+1)
$$

in which case we obtain
$r_{*}^{(K)} \in \dot{S}_{0,0,\lfloor(1-\delta) N-|m|-d-2-(1+\rho)(K+1)\rfloor,\left\lfloor N^{\prime}-|q|-2((1-\delta) N-|m|)+d+2+2 \rho(K+1)\right\rfloor}^{q-}$

